## Comments on Proceeding: 04-233

My family has been served in our community by K-Love. K-Love provides music that is 100% family friendly. There are no commercials of questionable taste or songs with obscene lyrics played. The music is uplifting and the message is encouraging.

I understand that the FCC is looking at rule changes to further regulate public radio. My first comment has to do with the fact that I financially support the station through donations (I don't work for them or receive any compensation in anyway). I don't believe the government should be in the business of regulating the speech radio stations that have to have public support to survive. If the community doesn't like their message, the community will take away their funding.

Secondly, the people are to be free from the government limiting our religious speech. One aspect of the proposal is to have community review boards appointed by the government. Those boards could have members on it that don't share the stations same values and try to restrict the stations ability to proclaim its message. Or they could try to force the station to grant equal time to view points that are hostile. Again, since no public funds are being used the government should have no right to restrict the stations programming.

Another change is the proposal to force the station to have an employee on the premises during broadcasts. Current technology has made this rule unnecessary. This adds an expense that stations don't need. As they don't receive public funds or commercial funds, many would have to shut down operations in some areas.

As I read through the various proposed changes, I just have to ask why. These stations provide a public service that private donations fund. I would ask that you not impose additional burdensome rules.

If someone is offended by the radio station, they can turn it off or go to another station they are not forced to listen to it. Also, there are more than enough other options available such as the internet, satellite radio, cable, etc. I leave you with some comments from one of your own commissions:

"Last week, FCC Commissioner Robert McDowell questioned the need to turn back the clock with such a rule change. In a speech before the Quello Communications Law and Policy Symposium, McDowell cited the proliferation of competing communications opportunities on the Internet, through cable television channels and other venues.

Given the media choices available, he questioned why 'policymakers like us at the FCC' are dusting off decades-old regulations to impose on broadcasters.

'Why are we considering placing these proverbial albatrosses around the necks of traditional media precisely at this 'tipping point' in history when they can least afford a regulatory disadvantage vis-à-vis unregulated platforms like the Internet?' he asked"

I couldn't have said it any better.

Thank you, Chris Duncan